

**PIMA COUNTY NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT BOND  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**MEETING SUMMARY**

**PIMA COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING  
130 W. CONGRESS  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HEARING ROOM CHAMBERS**

**TUESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2003 10:00 a.m.**

**Note: This is a summary of what transpired at the meeting of August 5, 2003.**

**1. Roll Call**

• **Attendance**

**Members Present**

Jan Johnson  
Fernando Castro  
Margaret Kish  
Ellie Towne  
Tracy Taft (by phone)  
Richard Small  
Rosalva Bullock  
Dan Garcia  
Dorothy Lewis

**Members Absent**

Greg Hansen

• **Others Present**

- ✓ Jim Barry, Exec. Assistant to the County Administrator
- ✓ Keith Bagwell, Exec. Assistant to County Supervisor Elias
- ✓ Youth, Family, & Neighborhood Reinvestment Staff:  
Betty Villegas, Tony Reis, David Longoria

**2. Call to Order**

Fernando Castro, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:00 am with a quorum present.

**3. Approval of Summary**

Richard Small moved to approve the Summary from 7/29. Margaret Kish seconded. Approved unanimously.

#### **4. Presentation on Stress Map Data**

Dave Taylor, City of Tucson expert on stress maps, was prohibited from coming to the Pima County Bond Committee meeting by the Mayor and City Council of Tucson. Consequently, the stress map presentation was limited to the 4 maps that augment the handout of 7/22, "Indicators of Neighborhood Stress". The red borders indicate tract boundaries. The blue borders indicate census block groups.

#### **5. Discuss Process for Neighborhood Reinvestment Committee**

Fernando stated that the process would be an ongoing development as the Committee learns more from staff and completes the survey. Once all the information is compiled, the Committee will be in a better position to actually analyze and evaluate the process.

Jan stated that she thought the reports from the committees from last week indicated that there had been lost of progress and success. The implementing committees were able to do what they set out to do. This Committee (04 Bond) may only need to "tweak" the process.

The 2 implementing Committees are both using an application process at this time.

Margaret Kish asked, "Do we have the data about who dropped out of the process and why? We may need to reach smaller groups that are intimidated by the process." Fernando affirmed that the Committee (04 Bond) all expressed that concern and that everyone will get surveyed to determine how participation is affected by the current process and if anything needs to be changed.

Jan asked that the Committee (04 Bond) review the "Examples of Category" list that she and Ellie worked on. She asked for suggestions or changes. Several suggestions were made. Jan and Ellie will work with those changes and will bring the form back for further review.

#### **6. Discuss survey questions: Additions, corrections, deletions.**

Several suggestions were made for changes:

- ❖ A place to show the name and location of the project on the survey form
- ❖ Follow-up with a phone call
- ❖ Ask if they are willing to mentor new groups
- ❖ Work to have questions and information flow better
- ❖ Keep the survey to one page
- ❖ What was the time that preplanning took
- ❖ What type of technical assistance do people need?

Tracy and Richard will compile the suggestions and will rewrite and then get survey form back to Linda. Linda will distribute.

Richard assured Rosalva that we can get a lot more details from the participants when we call them back. We need to keep initial survey instrument short and succinct.

Jan asked, “How are neighborhoods defined? So they have to be a certain size?” Dan Garcia answered since he was on the implementing Committee of '97 Bond, “ Groups that had followings were notified. They did not have to be organized as neighborhood associations. Didn't want just 1 or 2 individuals making decisions for the whole area. Staff met with groups and began developing the project based on what the group wanted.”

**8. Discuss and decide days, dates and times for future meetings.**

It was discussed and decided that future meetings would be at 8:00 am on Wednesdays in the same room, Board Conference Room.

**9. Agenda items for next meeting**

- County stress maps
- Policy uses of stress maps
- Other ways of measuring community need
- Out line questions this Committee needs to address
- Clarify stress maps, i.e., are we using the right stress maps

**10. Call to Public**

No one responded.

**11. Adjournment**

Margaret Kish moved for adjournment. Richard seconded.